A Member of the Roche Group

Issue Date: December 11, 2015

Call for Grant Notification: Genentech Medical Education & Research Grants

The *Medical Education and Research Grants team at Genentech, a member of the Roche Group,* invites accredited members of the educational provider community to submit applications for independent, certified medical education grants subject to the terms described below. This Call for Grants Notification (CGN) provides public notice of the availability of funds in a general topic area for activities for which recognized scientific or educational needs exist and funding is available.

Purpose: As part of Genentech's scientific mission, Genentech supports grants for independent medical education that aim to improve patient care by focusing on the improved application of knowledge, competence, and performance among healthcare professionals. This mission is achieved by supporting quality independent education that addresses evidence-based, bona fide educational gaps in accordance with the ACCME, AMA, PhRMA Code, OIG and FDA guidance.

Notification: Genentech CGNs are made available through being posted on the online Genentech Funding Request System (gFRS) site (<u>http://funding.gene.com</u>) along with the websites for the Alliance for Continuing Education in the Health Professions (ACEhp) and the Society for Academic Continuing Medical Education (SACME). In addition, an email is distributed to all registered gFRS users who have previously submitted an application for support of an independent education activity.

Eligibility Criteria: Applicants must be U.S.-based, registered on the Genentech Funding Request System, and in good standing with and accredited to provide CME/CE by an official accrediting agency (e.g. ACCME, ANCC, ACPE).

<u>Geographical Scope:</u> The educational initiatives must be U.S.-based only unless specifically identified as a *Global Grant*.

NEW! Submission Instructions for an *Executive Summary*:

- Providers who meet the eligibility criteria and are interested in submitting a response to this CGN <u>must first complete</u> a brief *Executive Summary* through the following link: (https://docs.google.com/forms/d/1HGX8UsCUJHYsqxZwsUOaPOZp9cA6BPS47GWG74DGhXU /viewform). Deadline for Executive Summary submission will be January 15, 2016.
- 2. By January 29, 2016, Genentech's respective Medical Education Manager will contact (ie, by email) those providers whose Executive Summaries were selected for further review.
- 3. Those providers who receive notification of potential interest may then submit full grant proposal applications online through gFRS. Further instructions will be provided in the email notification.

<u>Award Decision Date/Mechanism</u>: Final approvals and denials for those who were selected to submit a full application in gFRS will be communicated via standard grant-submission means (ie, email notifications) no later than **March 18, 2016.** *There have been no pre-determined approvals, nor any identified preferred educational providers. All submissions will be reviewed equally and thoroughly.*

Educational providers should not respond to this CGN unless they have read and understand the terms, purpose, therapeutic landscape, and educational request identified below. Additionally, educational providers should not respond to any of the CGNs unless they have demonstrated expertise to successfully execute grants for independent medical education within the specified disease area(s) **AND** the recommended educational formats. Applicants will be expected to identify independent gaps that are clinically accurate and relevantly aligned to these CGNs.

Currently Available CGNs

Therapeutic Area, Disease Area and Financial Support Availability	 Oncology, Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer* Up to \$300,000. Genentech does not require, but welcomes multi- support for this initiative. We recognize that innovation takes concerted effort and time. Although the issued CGN provides baseline considerations for educational programming, we recognize that providers who respond will likely present a wide range of innovative programming ideas. With this in mind, please consider the points and available financial amounts raised within the CGN as general guidance. We will take into account provider needs as they relate to the scale and scope of their proposed projects, including points that may not be distinctly captured within the CGN itself.
Introduction and Background	Research indicates that only 55% of U.S. adults within the United States are receiving evidence-based care whereas 45% are not. ¹ Population analyses of health outcomes suggest that issues with access, reimbursement or process barriers of care management accounts for only 10% of the variance in outcomes, whereas approximately 50% can be attributed to behavioral and social deficits related to transferring expanded knowledge into practice fluency. ² It is suggested that many of these gaps can be addressed through practical and relevant educational initiatives that address times when treatment information is widely known but inconsistently applied across different care centers and different regions. The Institutes of Medicine (IOM) suggested cancer diagnosis is only expected to increase in the coming decades with a current 1.6 million people being newly diagnosed each year. Since that 1999 IOM report publication, <i>Ensuring Quality Cancer Care</i> , unintended cancer care variations have continued to increase, limiting the results in evidence-based care and improvements in overall cancer management. According to this report and the outcomes of previous educational initiatives, a disquieting "majority" of community-based medical oncologists are only somewhat familiar with current guidelines and emerging evidence-based updates, further accounting for unintended care variations. ³

A Member of the Roche Group

	education activity as a means to close the regional care variation. Consideration will be given to those whom suggest a potential partnership(s) between the educational provider and the chosen region's appropriate healthcare institution(s) in order to gain access to necessary data, transform the healthcare delivery model and improve healthcare outcomes against the identified baseline of unintended, unwanted care variation.
Methods	 The clinical gaps, described above, could potentially be addressed through educational initiatives that are targeted to academic and community-based medical oncologists, pulmonologists, pathologists, and/or oncology nurses. Further, the clinical gaps described are aligned with gaps for health care professionals that may be addressed through behavior and/or learning interventions aimed at: <u>Activating</u> the educational audience to "improve their awareness" about the current problem, purpose and culture of the gap; <u>Advancing</u> the educational audience to "convert the information" to demonstrate where and when improvements will be implemented and whether or not these improvements can be replicated; and/or Aiding interprofessional healthcare provider teams to <u>aspire</u> to "demonstrate engagement" with one another, via education that supports communication skills for healthcare professionals and addresses how decisions made beyond the clinic can improve the healthcare gap (e.g., such as behaviors that yield increased patient engagement and health-promoting decisions among patients/caregivers).



A Member of the Roche Group



A Member of the Roche Group

Results section immediately above).

- 3. Summarize (through written analysis) the provider's understanding of the metrics, identifying the association between the intervention and the outcomes, identifying any comparison of the results with findings from other identified interventions or publications (if relevant).
- 4. Identify any unanticipated *barriers* and *activity/outcomes limitations*, explaining the reasons for them, and describing the efforts that were/are being made to adjust them as necessary.
- 5. Be available for discussion and/or presentation, if requested by Genentech's respective Medical Education Manager.

*Genentech is also committed to providing non-solicited grant support in all disease areas; however, a proportion of disease areas will have limited budgets outside of funding allocated to support grant decisions related to CGNs.

**While this particular model for education planning and assessment is identified within the CGN for descriptive purposes, all submitters may choose the model or framework that is most appropriate for their particular education design and/or plan as well as choose to apply no model or framework at all.

Additional Considerations

All grant submissions should describe how the educational provider plans to determine the extent to which the initiatives have met the stated objectives and closed the identified clinical/educational gap(s) (Accreditation Elements 10,11,12) including the qualifications of those involved in the design and analysis of the outcomes.

While not required, it is strongly recommended that the results of these educational initiatives aim to increase understanding around the elements identified within this CGN. Genentech will review ways the aforementioned information ties into the following components:

- Education that results in an improvement of quality metrics, quality of care, and/or quality of life;
- Education that results in a way that helps to inform or better engage patients with their care providers; or
- Optionally, education that includes a plan for publishing or disseminating the results, detailing the lessons learned.

Optionally and if appropriate, grant submissions and/or outcomes reporting may be organized in accordance with the SQUIRE model.⁹

Genentech's Grant Decision-Making Criteria

Please refer to the publicly available criteria, which can be found at <u>http://funding.gene.com</u>.

Terms and Conditions

- 1. All grant applications received in response to this CGN will be reviewed in accordance with all Genentech policies and policy guidelines.
- 2. This CGN does not commit Genentech to award a grant or to pay any costs incurred in the preparation of a response to this request.
- 3. Genentech reserves the right to approve or deny any or all applications received as a result of this request or to cancel, in part or in its entirety, this CGN.

A Member of the Roche Group

- 4. For compliance reasons, and in fairness to all providers, all communications about this CGN must come exclusively to Genentech's department of Medical Education and Research Grants. Failure to comply will automatically disgualify providers.
- 5. Failure to follow instruction within this CGN may result in a denial.

Transparency

Genentech, at its sole discretion, has the right to disclose the details of funded independent medical education activities, including those that may be required by federal, state, and/or local laws and regulations. This disclosure may include, but shall not be limited to, details of the activity and the grant amount. The information may be disclosed to the public in a manner including, but not limited to, disclosure on the Genentech website.

References

1. McGlynn EA, et al. New England Journal of Medicine 2003; 348: 2635-45

2. Hershberger PJ and Bricker DA. What determines physician effectiveness? Journal of the American Medical Association, 2014; 312(24): 2513-4.

3. National Cancer Policy Board, Institute of Medicine and Commission on Life Sciences National Research Council, 1999.

4. Camidge R, Liu SV, Powderly J, et al. Atezolizumab (MPDL3280A) combined with platinum-based chemotherapy in non–small cell lung cancer (NSCLC): a phase lb safety and efficacy update. Presented at: 16th World Conference on Lung Cancer; September 6-9, 2015; Denver, CO. Abstract 02.07.

5. CEOutcomes Needs Assessments, 2015.

6. Onega, T, et al. Geographic access to cancer care in the US. Cancer 2008; 112.4: 909-918.

7. Ruggiero JE, et al. Coordinated learning to improve evidence-based care: A model for continuing education for the new healthcare environment. Abstract presented at: 2016 ACEhp Quality Symposium; September 28-30, 2015; Chicago, IL.

8. Balas EA and Boren SA. Managing clinical knowledge for health care improvement. Yearbook of Medical Informatics. 2000.

9. Ogrinc G, et al. BMJ Journal of Quality and Safety 2015;0:1–7. doi:10.1136/bmjqs-2015-004411